
Madeline Hric, Robin Nuse Tome, Gina Robinette | December 2025

Introduction

Changing science refers to both the evolution of scientific knowledge through new discoveries and the transformation of scientific practices, driven by factors like new technologies, artificial intelligence, data enhancement, and research methodology. Nutrition science remains a relatively young and evolving field. Current research focuses on areas such as the gut microbiome, prebiotics, bioactive compounds, and social and environmental impacts on health disparities. Registered Dietitian Nutritionists (RDNs) and Nutrition and Dietetics Technicians, Registered (NDTRs) play a critical role in performing this research and must be prepared for the advancement and evolution of technology, practice, and interpretation of scientific research to remain the expert in the field of nutrition science.

This backgrounder examines **changing science** and its implications for RDNs and NDTRs. It is designed as an **internal resource** for members of the Academy and organizational leaders, providing an evidence-based overview of:

- The changing scientific landscape and factors driving change.
- The impact of changing science on RDNs and NDTRs in different areas of practice.
- Implications, considerations, and opportunities related to changing science for the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics.

The purpose of this document is not to offer prescriptive information, but rather to outline the **context, risks, and opportunities** shaping the nutrition and dietetics profession in an era of changing science. The rapidly evolving global research landscape is, and will continue to, have a significant impact on the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Technological advances, enhanced collaboration, open science, and new research centers in Asia and other regions are impacting the way scientific research is funded, conducted, analyzed, and disseminated.¹ These changes bring both opportunities and challenges for the profession.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Digital Transformation and Automation | 3 |
| AI Expansion in Nutrition Science | 3 |
| AI, Diet Analysis, and Meal Planning | 3 |
| Implications of Accessibility | 4 |
| Accessibility of Information..... | 4 |
| Offshoring of Research and Development | 4 |
| Implications for Individuals and Institutions | 5 |
| Impact on Daily Practice | 5 |
| Implications for the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics | 5 |
| Evidence-Based Practice, Policy, and Education | 5 |
| Technology | 6 |
| Communication | 6 |
| Transparency and Ethical Standards | 7 |
| Training and Education for Members and Students | 7 |
| Areas Impacted within the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics..... | 8 |
| Conclusion | 9 |
| References | 10 |

Digital Transformation and Automation

Digital transformation and automation will play a large role in how research is collected and interpreted. Artificial intelligence (AI) is being used to support many areas of research including sourcing literature, performing literature reviews and research summaries, composing abstracts, and analyzing data.² These strategies can be used to support the development of new and innovative nutrition science while also increasing access to evidence-based information.

AI Expansion in Nutrition Science

The use of AI has been accelerating the advancement of tools that can be used to support nutrition practice and science. New tools are in development that can forecast health concerns by using AI's interpretation of diet, genes, and biomarkers to assist RDNs in developing a personalized approach to medical nutrition therapy (MNT).³ Machine learning is advancing the development of tools in nutrition science. Machine learning algorithms can be designed to diagnose disease and monitor patient outcomes, and is noted to be able to detect diabetes and cardiovascular disease much earlier than before.³ This enhanced diagnostic capability helps to improve clinical decision-making and promote better health outcomes.

AI, Diet Analysis, and Meal Planning

Dietary analysis is another avenue where the use of AI has had positive integration in nutrition practice and science. Through AI, dietary assessment and analysis can be performed to support nutrition interventions and recommendations which help to reduce clinician bias, human error, and time for RDNs and NDTRs by providing more precise information on food and nutrient intake.³

Machine learning algorithms are being developed for individuals with low literacy to help decrease health related disparities. Visual-based interpretation software is a growing platform in AI to support this disparity; it allows patients/clients to upload photos of their meals and snacks and provides a dietary analysis.³ Lastly, AI and machine learning models have the potential to be trained to create disease specific meal plans with set parameters that can be reviewed and adapted by the RDN for precision nutrition.

AI advancements as part of nutrition practice and science works to support the RDN's and NDTR's practice by easing the analytical burden and enhancing meal planning resources allowing for enhanced education and targeted goal setting. There is also a lot of potential to enhance monitoring and adherence to MNT recommendations in between counseling sessions to support health outcomes.

Implications of Accessibility

Accessibility of Information

There has been an increased interest in science from community conversation to health policy over the last several years. Citizen science, or research that is conducted by nonprofessionals or crowdsourcing, has increased and become more mainstream due to decline in healthcare trust and its lower cost.⁴ While this has increased accessibility of information by publishing in journals that are open access or open science, credibility of the information is not guaranteed as it may not undergo the same peer-review process as other forms of research.

The decline in science literacy, or the ability to interpret data and science for practical application, has played a pivotal role in how nutrition science is interpreted by the public. Consumers often rely on social media and news outlets to receive information related to nutrition science that uses sensational headlines creating media excitability over the topic. As a result, consumers may be provided with misinformation, information taken out of context or skewed information that conflicts or contradicts with evidence-based practice.

Offshoring of Research and Development

Science is constantly evolving due to various factors including technological advancements and societal changes. Regions that have not previously been strong leaders in scientific research are now building research centers that rival those in the West. These new research centers are shaped by different cultural norms, ethical standards, and health policies.^{5, 6}

Offshoring of scientific research has increased significantly in the past 10 years for reasons including cost, recruitment challenges, regulatory standards, and efficiency. Scientific research ethics vary significantly across countries due to differences in cultural values, legal frameworks, and institutional practices. While there are shared global principles, these concepts can be interpreted and enforced differently.^{5, 6} Researchers and organizations must understand how to navigate differences in ethical standards, and review processes and oversight standards.

With the offshoring of research and development, health-related policies and cultural standards may impact the outcomes of new research particularly as it relates to nutrition and disease-related outcomes. While this shows promise at expanding evidence-based recommendations for MNT in a cross-cultural environment, RDNs and NDTRs will need to be able to critically analyze the research and its relevance to their patient/client populations.

Implications for Individuals and Institutions

Impact on Daily Practice

One of the aims within the nutrition and dietetics profession is to be recognized as a STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics) profession. Doing so may likely bring more funding for universities, greater access to technologies, and greater research advancements - all specifically within the dietetics major. Moving dietetics to a STEM profession may also lead to more partnerships with other research institutions and universities which will amplify the voice of RDNs and NDTRs in the research sphere. In turn, RDNs and NDTRs may see a positive shift in respect, being known as *the* experts in nutrition, scope of practice, and further advancements in their career.

As previously mentioned, AI can be a useful tool for nutrition and dietetics practitioners. Instead of replacing the RDN and NDTR, AI can be used to provide interventions that reduce bias and human error. By training the AI's algorithm, RDNs and NDTRs can leverage the technology and make their daily practice more efficient, increasing the ability to see more patients/clients and reach more patients/clients. Furthermore, RDNs and NDTRs can work with AI companies to educate on how to appropriately use the technology and its limitations. However, some threats of AI in daily practice include the potential to reduce RDN referrals, since the population may use it as a replacement for a health professional.

RDNs and NDTRs must uphold the [Code of Ethics for the Nutrition and Dietetics Practice](#) (Code) despite the potential for it to be questioned with the changing and advancing of science⁷. Nutritionists (non-RDNs/non-NDTRs) with no formal background or training claiming to be experts in nutrition are growing in number and popularity on social media, which could challenge the RDN and NDTR efforts in advancing the profession. Individuals who are not credentialed do not have a code of ethics and which would limit what they are ethically allowed to promote. In addition, the ethics of changing science and/or the AI companies themselves may not align with the [Code of Ethics for the Nutrition and Dietetics Profession](#), which could further challenge the efforts in advancing the nutrition and dietetics profession.

Implications for the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics

Scientific research is the foundation of the nutrition and dietetics profession. "Science-based decisions, derived from the best available research and evidence, are the underpinnings of ethical conduct and practice."⁷ Research drives the competencies of the profession and forms the foundation for education, policy, and standards of practice.

Evidence-Based Practice, Policy, and Education

Strategic Plan, Strategic Priority 1: Establish our definitive identity as the evidence-based authority in food and nutrition.

Amid the rapidly changing scientific landscape, proliferation of misinformation and disinformation, declining public trust, and rejection of expertise, the need for trusted, rigorous, and unbiased evidence has never been greater.⁸ The rise of social media has made it crucial for dietitians to combat the spread of inaccurate information with evidence-based recommendations and remain a trusted source of nutrition information^{15, 16}.

The Academy develops Evidence-Based Nutrition Practice Guidelines, position papers and consensus statements to inform members of the latest research on specific topics in the field of nutrition and dietetics. Armed with these resources, the Evidence Analysis Center, and emerging initiatives like the [Nutrition Fact Check](#) Campaign, nutrition and dietetics practitioners can be at the forefront of advancing evidence-based practice, policy, education, and nutrition-related health outcomes. Continued development of evidence-based tools and guidelines will help nutrition and dietetics practitioners stay up to date on changing science, aid in understanding the implications for the profession, and encourage systematic uptake of evidence into practice.

Communication

Strategic Plan, Strategic Priority #2: Broaden our impact by increasing focus on our key audiences, including healthcare partners and the public, to improve the health of the population and drive opportunities for the profession.

The Academy utilizes various channels to educate and promote evidence-based practices and science-based resources to RDNs, NDTRs, the public, other healthcare practitioners, policy makers and stakeholders. Current communication avenues include publication in the *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* and other peer-reviewed journals, FNCE® sessions, educational webinars, Academy Dietetic Practice Groups (DPGs) and Member Interest Groups (MIGs), Affiliates, social media platforms, and the Academy's member and consumer websites.

With the rise in digital platforms and new technologies, scientific communication is shifting. Research dissemination is increasingly more open and collaborative. Newer channels include preprints, diverse social media platforms, academic networks, online repositories, blogs, websites, and AI-supported communities. With this shift comes opportunities for a more rapid and broader transmission of information as well as concerns about abuse, fraud, and overall effectiveness.¹¹

As the Academy continues to ensure trustworthy, evidence-based nutrition recommendations and practice guidelines have maximal reach and impact, evaluating the reliability and effectiveness of new and emerging communication channels will be important.

Transparency and Ethical Standards

Strategic Plan, Strategic Priority 3: Build an inclusive culture that welcomes and represents diverse perspectives within the food and nutrition space.

Ethical considerations are central to maintaining the integrity and impact of scientific research. With the rise of new technologies, open science, and offshoring of research comes concerns for data privacy, accuracy, equity, and credibility. Transparency, robust regulatory frameworks, and the ethical use of AI in research are imperative for maintaining a strong scientific foundation amidst changing practices.

The use of AI in scientific research raises concerns about the intersection of intellectual property and artificial intelligence. Generative AI and machine learning models may train databases using text and images from the internet without permission, attribution, or compensation, prompting questions about fair use and copyright infringement.¹²

Open science practices related to publishing, data sharing, preregistration and peer review are intended to promote increased transparency, collaboration and accessibility within the scientific landscape. However, the shift brings practical and cultural challenges.¹³ The future of open science for the Academy and nutrition researchers hinges on addressing barriers limiting its potential for meaningful impact.

Technology

Strategic Plan, Strategic Priority 4: Empower the profession with effective tools, technology and resources to serve their communities and advance professionally.

Technology is rapidly transforming scientific research, automating processes, enabling new methodologies, fostering global collaboration, and increasing the reach of innovative discoveries. Refer to the [Navigating Future Practice: Technology Brief](#) for further insights on this topic.

As AI is incorporated into workflows, the challenge lies in responsibly using technology to complement human expertise without compromising scientific integrity. Human oversight is essential for maintaining scientific rigor and addressing potential misalignments between artificial and human intelligence.^{9, 10} RDNs and NDTRs will increasingly look to the Academy for guidance on how to effectively leverage new technologies while maintaining responsible scientific rigor.

The list of immediate and potential risks and harms associated with AI is expanding rapidly. Having a plan in place will help the Academy and its members leverage the benefits of new technologies and mitigate the potential for risk.¹¹

Training and Education for Members and Students

Strategic Plan, Strategic Priority 5: Optimize internal operations by aligning structure, technology, and processes to be more efficient and support our future vision.

The merging of science and art within the field of dietetics relies on the ability of RDNs and NDTRs to translate the science of nutrition into practice. The [Academy Strategic Plan](#) emphasizes science and evidence-based practices are the foundation of the profession.⁷ RDNs and NDTRs are fundamental as both purveyors and investigators of the high-level evidence base used to inform practice and improve health outcomes. When it comes to science, RDNs and NDTRs are distinct in that they are both teachers and learners. This highlights the importance of scientific literacy for practitioners. High level scientific literacy needs to be emphasized in undergraduate and graduate programs, dietetics internships, and professional development. RDNs and NDTRs in all practice areas must understand scientific processes, know how to critically evaluate scientific papers, discern between high- and low-quality evidence, interpret findings, and effectively communicate outcomes to diverse audiences.¹⁴

To keep up with the pace of scientific discovery and advancements in technology, training and education in scientific literacy will need to be dynamic, inclusive, and evidence-based. RDNs and NDTRs will need continuous exposure to new content and realistic opportunities to apply new and existing knowledge.

Scientific literacy is a responsibility for all dietitians and is critical to retain the profession's credibility.

Areas Impacted Within the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics

The Academy research initiatives and projects listed below are key to advancing the science of dietetics. For the committees, councils, and task forces leading these projects, the changing scientific landscape presents both new opportunities and significant challenges. Research teams must remain vigilant to further accelerate knowledge discovery and dissemination while maintaining core values and rigorous ethical standards. The Academy Strategic Plan will serve as the blueprint for exploring the possible and preferable future of dietetics research.

- [Academy Journals](#)
- [Academy's Scientific Integrity Principles](#)
- [Research Priorities 2020-2025](#)
- [Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Health Informatics Infrastructure](#)

- [Evidence Analysis Center](#)
- [Nutrition Research Network](#)
- [Guidelines and Positions](#)
- [Implementing Evidence Guide: from Guidelines to Daily Practice](#)
- [Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Foundation's Research Initiatives & Foundation Funded RISA Projects](#)

Conclusion

This backgrounder aims to lay the foundation for future initiatives that will explore the implications of changing science on the operations and practices of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics and its members. The topics presented here are intended to stimulate conversations that further explore and question the trends and innovations shaping scientific research and the nutrition and dietetics profession.

References

1. Krofah E, Choe SH. The Future of US Biomedical Research and Innovation: Recommendations for Action. The Milken Institute. November 2025. Accessed November 4, 2025. <https://milkeninstitute.org/content-hub/research-and-reports/reports/future-us-biomedical-research-and-innovation-recommendations-action>
2. Chubb, J., Cowling, P., & Reed, D. 2021. Speeding up to keep up: Exploring the use of AI in the research process. *AI Soc.* 15;37(4) 1439-1457. doi: 10.1007/s00146-021-01259-0
3. Kassem, H., Beevi, A.A., Basheer, S., Lutfi, G., Ismail, L. C., & Papandreou, D. 2025. Investigation and assessment of AI's role in nutrition- an updated narrative review of the evidence. *Nutrients.* 5(17); 190. doi: 10.3390/nu17010190
4. ASAE ForesightWorks Drivers of Change: Changing Science. ASAE. Accessed November 11, 2025. <https://www.asaecenter.org/resources/asae-foresightworks/>
5. Di, D., Ecklund, E.H. Cross-National Variations in Scientific Ethics: Exploring Ethical Perspectives Among Scientists in China, the US, and the UK. *Sci Eng Ethics* 30, 41 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-024-00505-0>
6. Goodyear-Smith F, Lobb B, Davies G, Nachson I, Seelau SM. International variation in ethics committee requirements: comparisons across five Westernised nations. *BMC Med Ethics.* 2002 Apr 19;3:E2. doi: 10.1186/1472-6939-3-2
7. Code of Ethics for the Nutrition and Dietetics Profession. Published June 1, 2018. Accessed November 9, 2025. <https://www.eatrightpro.org/practice/code-of-ethics/code-of-ethics-for-the-nutrition-and-dietetics-profession>
8. Denniss E, Lindberg R. Social media and the spread of misinformation: Infectious and a threat to public health. *Health Promot Int.* 2025;40(2):23. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAF023
9. Zhang, Y., Khan, S.A., Mahmud, A. *et al.* Exploring the role of large language models in the scientific method: from hypothesis to discovery. *npj Artif. Intell.* 1, 14 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44387-025-00019-5>
10. ASAE ForesightWorks Drivers of Change: Workforce and Workplace. ASAE. Accessed November 9, 2025. <https://www.asaecenter.org/resources/asae-foresightworks/asae-foresightworks-drivers-of-change-workforce-and-workplace>
11. ASAE ForesightWorks Drivers of Change: Data and Technology. ASAE. Accessed November 9, 2025. <https://www.asaecenter.org/resources/asae-foresightworks/asae-foresightworks-drivers-of-change-data-and-technology>
12. ASAE ForesightWorks Drivers of Change: Content, Learning, and Knowledge. ASAE. Accessed November 9, 2025. <https://www.asaecenter.org/resources/asae-foresightworks/asae-foresightworks-drivers-of-change-content-learning-and-knowledge>

13. Garcés-Giraldo, L. F., García-Arango, D. A., Ohcoa, J. A. V., Zuluaga, C. G., Rodríguez-Flores, E. A., Suárez-Pizzarello, M., & Montoya-Guiral, L. F. (2025). Open Science and its role in global scientific collaboration. *Journal of Posthumanism*, 5(5), 3178–3205. <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i5.1714>
14. Mantzioris, E. (2018), The science and art of dietetics: Why science needs to underpin our practice. *Nutr Diet*, 75: 247-249. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1747-0080.12445>
15. New International Food Information Council (IFIC) Research Highlights The Critical Role Of RDNs At The Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics' Food & Nutrition Conference & Expo (FNCE®). Published October 14, 2025. Accessed January 8, 2026. <https://ific.org/media/amid-nutrition-noise-americans-trust-registered-dietitians/>
16. International Food Information Council. 2025 IFIC Food & Health Survey: A Focus On Food & Nutrition. October 2025. <https://ific.org/research/2025-food-health-survey>